



Santa Rosa Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

① DISCRIMINATION ② MISUSE

Nature of Complaint: OF POLICE RESOURCES Date of Incident: 9/17/17

Location of Incident: 5648 WOODBINE RD. PACE, FL 32571

Member(s) Involved: OFFICER DAVID HUHN, SGT REEVES, LT GUNN, CHARLES BAISDEN,

Complainant: CHARLES BAISDEN Date of Birth: 12/24/80 ELIZABETH HUHN

Address: 3363 GLADEWOOD LN PACE, FL 32571 Telephone #: (850) 766-3847

Summary: THE SUMMARY OF THIS COMPLAINT IS DISCRIMINATION AGAINST MYSELF, CHARLES BAISDEN, AND AGAINST ELIZABETH HUHN. ADDITIONALLY, PLEASE CONSIDER THIS A FORMAL COMPLAINT DOCUMENTING MISUSE OF POLICE RESOURCES. THE INCIDENT OCCURED ON 9/17/17 BETWEEN 5:32 PM CST AND 8:35 PM CST. AT 6:22PM CST I NOTIFIED SRSO ABOUT A SAFETY CONCERN. OFFICER DAVID HUHN WAS EXPECTED TO PICK UP HIS CHILDREN AT 6:00PM CST AT THE FIVE POINTS WHATABURGER (INCIDENT) ADDRESS LISTED ABOVE. WHEN OFFICER HUHN HAD NOT ARRIVED FOR 23 MINUTES NOTIFICATION WAS GIVEN TO THE DISPATCHER THAT THERE WAS A CONCERN FOR OFFICER HUHN'S SAFETY, AS HE HAD NOT ARRIVED AND ADDITIONALLY WE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED THE LOCATION WHERE THE DROP OFF WAS TO OCCUR. AT 6:47 PM CST, 6:52 PM CST AND 6:55 PM CST I RECEIVED CALLS FROM SGT REEVES. DURING THE FIRST CALL, SGT REEVES EFFICIENTLY CARRIED OUT HIS DUTIES AND ADVISED US THAT HE HAD NO UPDATES FOR US ON OFFICER HUHN WHEREABOUTS. ON THE SECOND AND THIRD CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55, SGT REEVES VIOLATED THE POLICY OF THE SANTA ROSA COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT TO NOT GET INVOLVED IN A CIVIL MATTER WITHOUT A COURT ORDER. SGT REEVES HAD BEEN IN COMMUNICATION WITH OFFICER HUHN PRIOR TO THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN


I hereby swear and affirm that the above and all attached statements and/or documentation are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have fully read and understand the attached Florida State Statute § 112.533 regarding the Receipt and Processing of Complaints. Specifically, I acknowledge that until this investigation is completed, I am not to discuss my complaint with anyone other than the Deputy assigned to investigate my complaint or my legal representative.

Complainant's Signature: *Charles Baisden*
Printed name: CHARLES B BAISDEN

seal

Sworn to and subscribed before me this
19 day of September 2017.

René J. La Rose
Notary Signature



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office

ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT (Cont.)

REMAIN IMPARTIAL AND EQUALLY ASSIST ALL PARTIES INVOLVED, SGT REEVES BEGAN QUESTIONING MYSELF AND ELIZABETH HUNN ON A CIVIL MATTER WITHOUT A COURT ORDER. HE ASKED NO QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FINAL DIVORCE DECREE OR PARENTING PLAN. IF HE HAD, WE COULD HAVE ADVISED HIM THAT THE COURT ORDERS DO NOT DESIGNATE A DROP OFF LOCATION. MOREOVER, SGT REEVES BECAME PERSONALLY INVOLVED AND BEGAN ADVOCATING FOR OFFICER HUNN. HE RELAYED PERSONAL MESSAGES FOR OFFICER HUNN TO US. THIS IS INTIMIDATION. SGT REEVES HAD NO AUTHORITY TO BE INVOLVED IN THE CIVIL MATTER, AND HIS PARTICIPATION AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF OFFICER HUNN DISPLAYS A CLEAR BIAS ON THE PART OF SRSD. WHEN ELIZABETH AND I HAD REQUESTED A STAND AND ASST REGARDING A CIVIL MATTER FROM SRSD DUE TO OFFICER HUNN THREATENING MY LIFE (SGT SNOW TOOK REPORT ON 4/29/17) WE WERE ADVISED IT IS THE POLICY OF SRSD NOT TO GET INVOLVED IN CIVIL MATTERS WITHOUT A COURT ORDER. IT IS CLEAR THAT SGT REEVES VIOLATED THIS POLICY AND HARBORED / AIDED OFFICER HUNN. AT 6:02 PM CST OFFICER HUNN SENT A THREATENING TEXT TO ELIZABETH STATING THAT IF WE DID NOT MOVE TO AN ALTERNATE LOCATION, HE WOULD BE COMING TO PICK UP "HIS CHILDREN" WITH A SHERIFF DEPUTY. THIS LEADS TO AN ADDITIONAL COMPLAINT. SINCE IT IS THE POLICY OF SRSD NOT TO TAKE ACTION ON A CIVIL ISSUE WITHOUT A COURT ORDER, PLEASE CONSIDER THIS A FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT FOR DISCRIMINATION, MISUSE OF POLICE RESOURCES AND INTIMIDATION. THERE WAS A DEPUTY AT THE DROP OFF, AT WHATABURGER, WITH OFFICER HUNN. I'M CERTAIN THAT YOUR GPS EQUIPMENT AND PHONE RECORDS WILL CONFIRM THIS. IF THERE IS A PHONE RECORDING OF OFFICER HUNN REQUESTING A DEPUTY BE AT THE WHATABURGER FIVE POINTS AT 7:05 PM, THEN YOU HAVE A SERIOUS ISSUE. THE WORST PART OF THIS IS THAT WHEN I CALLED TO REPORT THE COMPLAINT, MY CALL WAS "DELETED FROM THE CALL BOARD" ACCORDING TO YOUR DISPATCHER. I HAD TO CALL A SECOND TIME, ONE HOUR LATER, TO DISCUSS THE ISSUE WITH LT. GUNN. WHEN I DID, HE TOOK A VERY COMBATIVE TONE WITH ME ON THE PHONE, AND PROCEEDED TO MAKE EXCUSES FOR OFFICER HUNN, AND ATTEMPTED TO EXPLAIN TO ME WHY OFFICER HUNN WAS MORE THAN ONE HOUR LATE FOR DROP OFF. AGAIN, IF IT IS THE POLICY OF SRSD NOT TO GET INVOLVED IN CIVIL ISSUES WITHOUT A COURT ORDER, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY A LT IS GIVING EXCUSES ON A CIVIL ISSUE. ~~THE~~ ADDITIONALLY, LT GUNN CALLED ME AN "ASSHOLE" WHILE I WAS ON THE PHONE ATTEMPTING TO FILE A COMPLAINT. YOUR TEAM IS BACKING THE WRONG PERSON. OFFICER HUNN IS USING HIS STANDING AS A PENSACOLA POLICE DEPT EMPLOYEE TO ABUSE THE LAW, AND INTIMIDATE CIVILIANS. YOUR ENTIRE DEPARTMENT IS PARTICIPATING. PLEASE REVIEW THE REPORT HISTORY AGAINST OFFICER HUNN. HE HAS PHYSICALLY THREATENED ME, HE HAS THREATENED MY LIFE IN WRITING, AND HE IS USING THE SRSD TO INTIMIDATE OUR FAMILY. AND THE WORST PART? EACH TIME WE CALL FOR HELP, YOUR OFFICERS TELL US THAT THESE INCIDENTS THREATS, AND MENTAL ABUSE ARE NOT CONNECTED. THEY DENY ANY 'MALICE' EXISTS. WHAT DO WE HAVE TO LIVE THROUGH

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office

FOR SOMEONE IN YOUR DEPARTMENT TO TAKE THIS SERIOUSLY?
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DOES NOT HAVE TO BE A PHYSICAL CONTACT
ISSUE. OFFICER HUNN IS USING THESE THREATENING TACTICS TO
INTIMIDATE AND ABUSE US MENTALLY. AND SRSD IS PARTICIPATING.
WITHOUT A COURT ORDER. PLEASE HELP US.

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints.--

- (1) Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such